

ADDENDUM

INTEGRABILITY OF OPEN SPIN CHAINS WITH QUANTUM ALGEBRA SYMMETRY

[INT. J. MOD. PHYS. A, Vol. 6, 5231 (1991)]

Luca Mezincescu and Rafael I. Nepomechie

Department of Physics

University of Miami, Coral Gables, FL 33124

In the above reference, we prove that certain quantum spin chains with quantum-algebra symmetry are integrable. Specifically, these are the quantum-algebra-invariant open chains associated with the affine Lie algebras $A_1^{(1)}$, $A_{2n}^{(2)}$, $A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$, $B_n^{(1)}$, $C_n^{(1)}$, and $D_n^{(1)}$ in the fundamental representation. Conspicuously absent from this list is $A_n^{(1)}$ for $n > 1$. This is because in order to demonstrate integrability, we assume that the corresponding R matrix has crossing symmetry, which is not true in the case $A_n^{(1)}$ for $n > 1$.

Sklyanin has demonstrated¹ that an integrable open spin chain can be constructed with an R matrix that satisfies nothing more than the Yang-Baxter equation. In particular, no assumption of crossing symmetry is necessary. This suggests that the quantum-algebra-invariant open chain associated with $A_n^{(1)}$ for $n > 1$ may be integrable. In this Addendum, we show that this is in fact the case.

Let $R(u)$ be a solution of the Yang-Baxter equation corresponding to a non-exceptional affine Lie algebra $g^{(k)}$ in the fundamental representation. According to Bazhanov² and Jimbo³, such an R matrix has PT symmetry

$$\mathcal{P}_{12} R_{12}(u) \mathcal{P}_{12} \equiv R_{21}(u) = R_{12}(u)^{t_1 t_2}, \quad (1)$$

and is unitary

$$R_{12}(u) R_{21}(-u) = \zeta(u), \quad (2)$$

where $\zeta(u)$ is some even scalar function of u . Moreover, according to Reshetikhin and Semenov-Tian-Shansky⁴, there exists a matrix M such that

$$\{\{\{R_{12}(u)^{t_2}\}^{-1}\}^{t_2}\}^{-1} = \frac{\zeta(u+\rho)}{\zeta(u+2\rho)} M_2 R_{12}(u+2\rho) M_2^{-1}. \quad (3)$$

Also, $M^t = M$ and

$$[R(u), M \otimes M] = 0. \quad (4)$$

We do *not* assume that R has crossing symmetry.

Let us introduce matrices $K^-(u)$ and $K^+(u)$ as before⁵:

$$R_{12}(u-v) K_1^-(u) R_{21}(u+v) K_2^-(v) = K_2^-(v) R_{12}(u+v) K_1^-(u) R_{21}(u-v) \quad (5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & R_{12}(-u+v) K_1^+(u)^{t_1} M_1^{-1} R_{21}(-u-v-2\rho) M_1 K_2^+(v)^{t_2} \\ &= K_2^+(v)^{t_2} M_1 R_{12}(-u-v-2\rho) M_1^{-1} K_1^+(u)^{t_1} R_{21}(-u+v). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Sklyanin¹ has found a more general set of relations, which reduces to this set when R satisfies Eqs. (1) and (2).

With the help of Eqs. (3) and (4), one can verify that

$$K^+(u) = K^-(-u-\rho)^t M \quad (7)$$

is an automorphism. For all cases except $D_n^{(2)}$ (so, in particular, for the case $A_n^{(1)}$),

$$K^-(u) = 1 \quad (8)$$

is a solution of Eq. (5). Hence,

$$K^+(u) = M \quad (9)$$

is a solution of Eq. (6). And hence, the corresponding commuting transfer matrix is^{6,5}

$$t(u) = \text{tr } K^+(u) T(u) K^-(u) T(-u)^{-1} = \text{tr } M T(u) T(-u)^{-1}. \quad (10)$$

This transfer matrix commutes also with the generators of the quantum algebra $U_q[g_0]$, where g_0 is the maximal finite-dimensional subalgebra of $g^{(k)}$. Indeed, let us recall that the monodromy matrix $T(u)$, upon suitably taking the limit $u \rightarrow \pm\infty$, becomes an upper or lower triangular matrix $T_{\pm}$ whose entries are elements of $U_q[g_0]$. The proof of the formula

$$[T_{\pm}, t(u)] = 0 \quad (11)$$

is essentially the one given (following Kulish and Sklyanin⁷) in Ref. 8.

In conclusion, the case $A_n^{(1)}$ can be treated in almost the same way as the other cases which we have previously studied. The only difference is that the crossing relation for the R matrix is replaced by the weaker relation (3).

A number of interesting questions remain:

1. One may try to extend this analysis to the case $D_n^{(2)}$, for which Eq. (8) does not hold. Moreover, the exceptional cases have not yet been investigated.
2. One may try to solve the $A_n^{(1)}$ chains by the analytical Bethe Ansatz. This would require an extension of the known^{9,10} formalism, which assumes crossing symmetry.
3. One may further explore¹ open chains associated with a matrix $R(u)$ which does not have PT symmetry, or with a matrix $R(u, v)$ which does not depend on the difference $u - v$. The chiral Potts model is presumably an example of the second type.

We are indebted to E.K. Sklyanin for sharing with us his unpublished work¹. We also thank N. Yu. Reshetikhin for a discussion on the scalar factor in Eq. (3). This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY-90 07517.

References

1. E.K. Sklyanin, unpublished.
2. V.V. Bazhanov, Phys. Lett. *159B* (1985) 321; Commun. Math. Phys. *113* (1987) 471.
3. M. Jimbo, Commun. Math. Phys. *102* (1986) 537; *Lecture Notes in Physics*, Vol. 246 (Springer, 1986) 335.
4. N. Yu. Reshetikhin and M.A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, Lett. Math. Phys. *19* (1990) 133. See also I. Frenkel and N. Yu. Reshetikhin, preprint.
5. L. Mezincescu and R.I. Nepomechie, J. Phys. *A24* (1991) L17.
6. E.K. Sklyanin, J. Phys. *A21* (1988) 2375.
7. P.P. Kulish and E.K. Sklyanin, J. Phys. *A24* (1991) L435.
8. L. Mezincescu and R.I. Nepomechie, Mod. Phys. Lett. *A6* (1991) 2497.
9. N. Yu. Reshetikhin, Lett. Math. Phys. *14* (1987) 235.
10. L. Mezincescu and R.I. Nepomechie, Nucl. Phys. *B372* (1992) 597.